REMARKS

In the Final Office Action mailed May 19, 2006, claims 10-11, 15-16, 22-23, 25 and 26 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a). Claims 12-14, 17-21 and 24 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but were said to be allowable if rewritten in independent form.

35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection

The Office Action stated "The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed 2/17/06 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 10-11, 15-16, 22-23, 25 and 26 based upon 35 USC 102(a) as set forth in the last Office action because: The declaration does not adequately set forth that the inventorship of the application is correct in light of the cited reference. . . No unequivocal statement is made regarding the inventorship of the subject matter disclosed in the Boder et al. reference and how this relates to the inventorship of the subject matter recited in the claims subject to the 102(a) rejection."

In response, a Declaration of the inventors is submitted with this response which makes an unequivocal statement regarding the inventorship of the subject matter disclosed in the Boder et al. reference and how this relates to the inventorship of the subject matter recited in the claims subject to the 102(a) rejection. The Declaration sets forth that the inventorship of the application is correct in light of the cited reference. It is believed this Declaration overcomes the rejection. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Objection of claims 12-14, 17-21 and 24

In view of the arguments and evidence presented herein, it is believed that claims 12-14, 17-21 and 24 are dependent on an allowable claim. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested. Appl. No. 10/738,454

Amdt. dated November 17, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action of May 19, 2006

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is believed the application is in condition for allowance. If there are any issues remaining, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned.

It is believed fees for three months extension of time are due in the amount of \$1020.00. This submission is part of a Request for Continued Examination. The fee of \$790.00 is believed due for the Request for Continued Examination. The undersigned hereby authorizes that the appropriate fee, including the fee for any extensions of time required, from Deposit Account 07-1969.

Respectfully submitted.

Susan K. Doughty

Reg. No. 43,595

GREENLEE, WINNER AND SULLIVAN, P.C. 4875 Pearl East Circle, Suite 200 Boulder, CO 80301

Telephone: (303) 499-8080 Facsimile: (303) 499-8089 E-mail: winner@greenwin.com Attorney Docket No. 97-99E

November 17, 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Confirmation No. 8855 10/738.454 Appl. No.

Applicant Wittrup et al. December 16, 2003 Filed

TC/A.U. 1636

David Guzo Examiner

For YEAST CELL SURFACE DISPLAY OF PROTEINS AND USES THEREOF

97-99E Docket No.

Customer No : 23713

CERTIFICATE OF EFS-WEB FILING

Date

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited ne USPTO EFS-WEB system on the date indicated

Commissioner for Patents MAIL STOP AMENDMENT P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF K. DANE WITTRUP, DAVID M. KRANZ, MICHELE KIEKE AND ERIC T. BODER

- 1. That we have read and understand the above-referenced application, including the claims and the Office Actions mailed May 19, 2006 and August 23, 2005.
- That the Boder abstract used in the 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection was prepared by 2. co-inventors Boder and Wittrup for a poster presentation made by Boder and Wittrup.
- That all named inventors made original intellectual contributions to the 3 conception of the invention as claimed in the claims that were rejected over the Boder et al. abstract used in the outstanding 102(a) rejection.

- 4. That all persons named as co-inventors of the above-referenced application made original intellectual contributions to the conception of at least one claim pending in the above-referenced application.
- That the inventorship of the claims pending in the above-referenced application is correct.
- 6. I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-referenced application or any patent issuing thereon.

11/17/06	Juste With
Date	K. Dane Wittrup
Date	David M. Kranz
Date	Michele Kieke
Date	Eric T. Boder

Appl. No. : 10/738,454
Applicant : Witten and Confirmation No. 8855

Applicant : Wittrup et al.
Filed : December 16, 2003

TC/A.U.: 1636
Examiner David Organical

Examiner : David Guzo

THE LULID LIA LIE 444 0400

For : YEAST CELL SURFACE DISPLAY
OF PROTEINS AND USES THEREOF

Docket No. : 97-99E

Customer No.: 23713

CERTIFICATE OF EFS-WEB FILING

I hareby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the USPTO EFS-WEB system on the date indicated pelaware and the correspondence of the correspondence

Commissioner for Patents MAIL STOP AMENDMENT P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF K. DANE WITTRUP, DAVID M. KRANZ, MICHELE KIEKE AND ERIC T. BODER

- That we have read and understand the above-referenced application, including the claims and the Office Actions mailed May 19, 2006 and August 23, 2005.
- That the Boder abstract used in the 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection was prepared by co-inventors Boder and Wittrup for a poster presentation made by Boder and Wittrup.
- 3 That all named inventors made original intellectual contributions to the conception of the invention as claimed in the claims that were rejected over the Boder et al, abstract used in the outstanding 102(a) rejection.

- That all persons named as co-inventors of the above-referenced application
 made original intellectual contributions to the conception of at least one claim pending in
 the above-referenced application.
- That the inventorship of the claims pending in the above-referenced application is correct.
- 6. I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-referenced application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date	K. Dane Wittrup
11/9/06 Date	David M. Kranz
Date	Michele Kieke
Date	Eric T. Boder

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. 10/738,454 Confirmation No. 8855

Applicant Wittrup et al.

Filed December 16, 2003 TC/A U 1636

Examiner David Guzo

For YEAST CELL SURFACE DISPLAY

OF PROTEINS AND USES THEREOF Docket No.

97-99E

Customer No : 23713

CERTIFICATE OF EFS-WEB FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the USPTO EFS-WEB system on the date indicated

Commissioner for Patents MAIL STOP AMENDMENT

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF K, DANE WITTRUP, DAVID M, KRANZ, MICHELE KIEKE AND ERIC T. BODER

- 1. That we have read and understand the above-referenced application, including the claims and the Office Actions mailed May 19, 2006 and August 23, 2005.
- 2 That the Boder abstract used in the 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection was prepared by co-inventors Boder and Wittrup for a poster presentation made by Boder and Wittrup.
- 3 That all named inventors made original intellectual contributions to the conception of the invention as claimed in the claims that were rejected over the Boder et al. abstract used in the outstanding 102(a) rejection.

- 4. That all persons named as co-inventors of the above-referenced application made original intellectual contributions to the conception of at least one claim pending in the above-referenced application.
- That the inventorship of the claims pending in the above-referenced application is correct
- 6. I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-referenced application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date	K. Dane Wittrup
Date	David M. Kranz
11/6/06	Michele C. Vieke
Date	Michele Kieke
Date	Eric T. Boder

Confirmation No. 8855

NO.674

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. ; 10/738,454 Applicant : Wittrup et al.

Filed : December 16, 2003

TC/A.U. : 1636 Examiner : David

Examiner : David Guzo

For YEAST CELL SURFACE DISPLAY
OF PROTEINS AND USES THEREOF

Docket No. : 97-99E

Customer No.: 23713

CERTIFICATE OF EFS-WEB FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the USPTO EFS-WEB system on the date indicated belows

Commissioner for Patents MAIL STOP AMENDMENT P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF K. DANE WITTRUP, DAVID M. KRANZ, MICHELE KIEKE AND ERIC T. BODER

- That we have read and understand the above-referenced application, including the claims and the Office Actions mailed May 19, 2006 and August 23, 2005.
- That the Boder abstract used in the 35 U.S.C. 102(a) rejection was prepared by co-inventors Boder and Wittrup for a poster presentation made by Boder and Wittrup.
- 3 That all named inventors made original intellectual contributions to the conception of the invention as claimed in the claims that were rejected over the Boder et al. abstract used in the outstanding 102(a) rejection.

- That all persons named as co-inventors of the above-referenced application
 made original intellectual contributions to the conception of at least one claim pending in
 the above-referenced application.
- That the inventorship of the claims pending in the above-referenced application is correct.
- 6. I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the above-referenced application or any patent Issuing thereon.

Date	K. Dane Wittrup
Date	David M. Kranz
Date	Michele Kieke
11-3-06 Date	Eric T. Boder